



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/864,456	05/25/2001	Toshihiko Kaku	Q64549	1775
759	90 03/03/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN,			CHANNAVAJJALA, SRIRAMA T	
MACPEAK & S	SEAS, PLLC			
2100 Pennsylvai	nia Avenue, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20037-3213			2166	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/02/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 03/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/864,456	KAKU, TOSHIHIKO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Srirama Channavajjala	2166	

	Omama Onamavajjala	2100			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 17 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)			
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I		-			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		E FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN			
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee lander 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed hay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since			
	but prior to the data of filing a brief	Fivill not be entered because			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, if (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		:			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	·				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-45 and 47-92</u> .		:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a N	latice of Anneal will not be entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidar	vit or other evidence is necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o 	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails to provide a			
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attached.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pager N	No(s)			
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	, ve.e2.00 e	10(0).			
		Ka —			
		Srirama Channavajjala			
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2166			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-	3031
--------------------------	------

Application No. 09/864,456

Continuation of 13. Other:

Amended Claims 1,43,78 will be rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mayle et al. US Patent No. 6018774 in view of Haeberli, US Patent No. 6587596